

Delivery Assurance Policy v3.0

Pertaining to the Accelerated Loss of Mains Change Programme (ALOMCP)

Introduction

The purpose of this document is to set out the procedures that will be followed by all distributors (DNOs and IDNOs) participating in the ALOMCP ("Participating Distributors") to ensure that the works completed by providers of the accelerated loss of mains (LoM) change service ("Providers") on their protection devices (relays, inverter controllers, or otherwise) are completed to a satisfactory standard.

This document is referred to in the contract that governs the provision of the accelerated LOM change service ("ALOMCP Distributor Agreement") between National Grid Electricity System Operator Limited ("NGESO") and each Participating Distributor and in the contracts that govern the provision of the service between the Participating Distributor and each Provider ("ALOMCP Provider Contracts"). The terms of each ALOMCP Distributor Agreement require that the Participating Distributor and NGESO comply with the terms of this Delivery Assurance Policy and the ALOMCP Provider Contracts require the Participating Distributor and the Provider to also comply with the terms of this Delivery Assurance Policy.

Governance

This document has been adopted by the delivery assurance workstream of the ALoMCP and adopted by all its members in accordance with the constitution of the Programme Steering Group and related Workstreams. Any changes to this policy are governed by the rules of the delivery assurance workstream, which all members have agreed to comply with in the Framework Agreement between NGESO and Participating Distributors.

The Delivery Assurance Workstream will monitor the assumptions that were used to define this policy, the delivery of the Participating Distributors against its elements, the degree of assurance it provides, and the issues associated with its implementation. The workstream will agree any necessary revisions to it according to the decision-making rules set out in the constitution.

Version	Date	Status	Notes
1.0	23.08.2019	Final	
2.0	13.12.2019	Final	Improved proforma to clarify testing and compliance
			requirements
3.0	21.01.2020	Final	Requirement to provide inverter and relay models in the
			proforma and clarification that the use of G99 settings is
			acceptable

Version control



Approach

Due to the complexity of the project and the large number of sites affected, the approach to delivery assurance should allow a combination of auditing of processes and documentation, self-certification, witness testing, and sample site visits. The number of sites covered by each method should be defined such that:

- The whole process is economic and efficient,
- The risks to distribution systems arising from this programme are minimised,
- Systematic failures are identified and addressed throughout the programme.

To achieve these objectives, it is necessary to ensure that:

- The majority of the sites are covered by self-certification
- Sites where the scope of works is more significant should be subject to witness testing,
- The number of sample site visits is sufficient to provide assurance of how successful the delivery process is.

Further efficiency will be achieved by allowing Participating Distributors to waive the requirement to witness testing based on establishing confidence that the Provider or the contractor acting on behalf of the Provider has the necessary skills, processes and procedures in place to carry out the works satisfactorily.

To meet these requirements, the delivery assurance approach dictates:

- a) Witness testing at all sites where:
 - an existing protection device (relay, inverter controller, or otherwise) is to be replaced by a new device, or
 - the loss of mains protection function of an existing protection device (relay, inverter controller, or otherwise) is to be disabled,

unless the Participating Distributor waives this requirement due to the works are being completed by a recognised contractor.

- b) Self-certification, supported by evidence, at sites where
 - o only a protection setting change is required, and
 - sites where the requirement for witness testing has been waived due to the works being completed by a recognised contractor.
- c) Undertaking sample site visits at a percentage of the sites where witness testing was not conducted. For the avoidance of doubt this includes all sites where self-certification is accepted.

For the sole purpose of this document a 'recognised contractor' has the meaning given in Annex 2.



The treatment of a contractor as a recognised contractor will be for each Participating Distributor individually to decide based on their experience with that contractor. This may include for routine sample witnessing of recognised contractor at which a recognised contractor may cease to qualify as a recognised contractor as provided in the relevant ALOMCP Distributor Agreement if issues are identified.

This approach is summarised in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Summary of the Delivery Assurance Policy

Scope of Works	Baseline approach	Revised approach for
		Recognised Contractor
Replacing an existing protection	Participating Distributor	Self-certification with
device by a new device	witness testing	percentage of sites subject to
		a post event sample site visit
		at the rates specified in Table
		2.
Disabling the loss of mains	Participating Distributor	Self-certification with
protection function in an	witness testing	percentage of sites subject to
existing protection device		a post event sample site visit
		at the rates specified in Table
		2.
Changing the settings of an	Self-certification with	Self-certification with
existing protection device	percentage of sites subject to	percentage of sites subject to
	a post event sample site visit	a post event sample site visit
	at the rates specified in Table	at the rates specified in Table
	2.	2.

Assessment methods

1. <u>Participating Distributor witnesses testing:</u>

This is when the relevant Participating Distributor's representative attends to site while the Provider or its contractor implements the change to witness testing of the protection devices and, where deemed appropriate, oversees the completion of the works.

For Participating Distributors, witness testing provides assurance that the works done by the Provider, or its contractor, do not compromise the safety of the Participating Distributor's distribution system. It also informs a view of whether any Provider - or contractor acting on behalf of a Provider - has the skills, processes and procedures in place to undertake protection modification works at other sites without the need to witness such works or not, as defined in the "recognised contractor" approach.

For NGESO, witness testing provides some level of surety that the works done at this specific site are in line with the objectives of the programme.



Witness testing requires that the Participating Distributor makes sufficient resource available to:

- a) agree the date and time during which the works would be undertaken, and
- b) attend site to witness testing at the agreed date and time.

When at site the Participating Distributor's representative will witness the completion of some or all of the tests, as documented in G59¹ Sections 13.2 and 13.3, relevant to the work undertaken to implement the protection changes and provide signed copies of the commissioning documentation to the Participating Distributor's representative. In addition, and on all occasions the proforma (Annex 1) should be completed by the Provider and returned to the Participating Distributors.

A Participating Distributor's representative will be expected to record the occasions when they had to intervene either to provide guidance or to request that some errors are corrected. These records will be anonymised, collated, and shared by the Participating Distributor with other Participating Distributors and NGESO in order to inform the need for any further guidance, assurance, or changes to the process.

2. <u>Self-certification:</u>

Self-certification is the process whereby the Provider declares to the relevant Participating Distributor that the works have been completed as required and provide them with sufficient evidence to support their claim for payment.

The evidence required to support the Provider's claim is outlined in the proforma (see Annex 1).

The Participating Distributor will review the pro-forma and the evidence submitted for consistency and to confirm whether the information in the pro-forma and the evidence indicate that the Provider is likely to have completed the works required and that the G59 requirements on LoM protection are met.

For Participating Distributors and NGESO this provides an expedient way of processing a large number of applications with minimal resource requirement and low overhead costs. However, it will not capture any failure (systematic or other) in the process unless such failure is identified through the documentation submitted.

Participating Distributors will provide sufficient resource to assess the evidence submitted by Providers.

3. <u>Post event sample site visits:</u>

¹ Note – it is acceptable to commission new or reset protection to the requirements of G99 if the relays etc are capable of accepting G99 settings.



This is when the relevant Participating Distributor's representative visits the site following receipt of evidence that the changes required to the LoM protection have taken place.

For Participating Distributors and NGESO this increases the confidence in the delivery of the programme because it provides an efficient mechanism that identifies systematic failures in the process such that these failures can be addressed.

The Participating Distributor will coordinate sample site visits with the Provider for the Participating Distributor's representative to attend at the agreed time and date.

When at site the person conducting sample site visits will:

- Ask the Provider to identify a sample of the LoM protection devices, browse its settings, and display the LoM protection type and settings
- o Compare the relay and the settings observed to the records submitted by the Provider
- Identify and record any discrepancies,
- Where required, notify the Provider with any actions required.

The Provider may need to ensure that its appointed contractor attends site at the date/time of the visit to facilitate the sample site visit.

Discrepancies identified will be anonymised, collated, and shared with other Participating Distributors and NGESO in order to inform the need for any further guidance, assurance, or changes to the process.

Sampling rates for post event sample site visits will vary depending on the site capacity, their generation technology, and their existing LoM protection settings. These rates are summarised in Table 2. The assumptions and the calculations that were used to determine these rates are included in Annex 3.

Each Participating Distributor is responsible for selecting which sites to visit to achieve the sampling rate required. In doing so, the Participating Distributor shall ensure that the selection is free from any systematic bias in relation to the objectives of the programme.

Site capacity	Site type	Sampling rate
1MW or above	For Vector Shift (VS) protection	56%
	Solar sites	
	For Rate of Change of Frequency (RoCoF) protection	
	All sites excluding solar generation, diesel	
	generation, and gas generation that does not form a	
	part of a CHP system	
1MW or above	Other sites	31%

Table 2: Requirements for sample site visits



Less than 1 MW	For VS protection	3%
	Solar sites	
	For RoCoF protection	
	All sites excluding solar generation, diesel	
	generation, and gas generation that does not form a	
	part of a CHP system	
Less than 1 MW	Other sites	2%

The rates in Table 2 will be reviewed by the delivery assurance workstream once a third of the sites within each of the four categories has been completed. The assumptions on the total number of sites are given in Table 3. Further reviews may be needed from time to time to account for any change in the assumptions that were used to determine such rates.

Table 3: Number of sites after which the sampling rate will be reviewed.

Site capacity	Site type	Assumed total number of sites	Assumed number of sites after which the sampling rates will be reviewed
1MW or above	For VS protection Solar sites For RoCoF protection All sites excluding solar generation, diesel generation, and gas generation that does not form a part of a CHP system	1620	540
1MW or above	Other sites	1620	540
Less than 1 MW	For VS protection Solar sites For RoCoF protection All sites excluding solar generation, diesel generation, and gas generation that does not form a part of a CHP system	23400	7800
Less than 1 MW	Other sites	23400	7800

Independent Auditing

An audit of the programme overall may be undertaken to ensure that it is delivering its objectives and hence this audit does not form a part of this delivery assurance approach. However, it is mentioned in this document for completeness.

If and when required, the independent auditor may require additional site visits. In such case, NGESO will liaise with the Participating Distributor and the Provider to arrange sample site visits.



Delivery Assurance Flowchart

A flowchart depicting the activities required by the Delivery Assurance Policy is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Delivery Assurance Flowchart





Charges and Allowances

Participating Distributors will not charge Providers for one successful visit, either for witness testing or to conduct a post event sample site visit, during normal office hours.

Participating Distributors will charge Providers for failed visits, visits out of normal office hours, and any repeat visits by the Participating Distributor to witness re-tests etc. The charges will be in line with the Participating Distributor's normal charges for witnessing tests of this type.

Participating Distributors will pay the Providers an allowance towards any additional costs they may incur to facilitate a successful post event sample site visit. This allowance is specified in the <u>Payment</u> <u>Process Specification</u>. NGESO will reimburse Participating Distributors for these allowances.

Participating Distributors will charge NGESO for one successful visit, to either witness testing or to conduct a post event sample site visit, to sites where such visits are required by the Delivery Assurance Policy.



Annex 1: Pro-forma record of Loss of Mains Change Service

The pro-forma must be returned completed and signed by the Provider, site operator, or any party acting on its behalf.

In addition to the information in tabulated proforma, Participating Distributors will require the following:

- Timestamped photographic evidence showing the LoM protection device and its settings both prior to and after the change
- Timestamped photographic evidence of any other relevant works on site (eg disconnected tripping circuits etc)
- Printouts, screenshots or other details of protection device settings or setting files
- Records of tests undertaken and/or any associated test certificates.



Details of the Works Completed at The Site

Company Name:	Unique Site ID:
Site Address:	
Sile Address.	DRONDRO.
	MPAN:
Post Code:	
Contact Name:	Contact Details:
	Fmeil
	Email
	Phone
Description of originally installed loss of mair	ns and overfrequency protection:
	for each office of the second s
Please overtype this text with your site-specific in	itormation.
For example – number of units, make and types	of any device that provides a loss of mains
protection function overfrequency releva and wh	at the pattings for each device/relay are
protection function, overfrequency relays and what	at the settings for each device/relay are.
Description of work undertaken to make the c	hange
Description of work undertaken to make the c	hange
Description of work undertaken to make the c	hange
Description of work undertaken to make the c Please overtype this text with your site-specific in	hange
Description of work undertaken to make the c Please overtype this text with your site-specific in	hange formation.
Description of work undertaken to make the c Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have beer	hange formation.
Description of work undertaken to make the c Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection	hange formation. h physically changed, or what protection devices h devices have been physically disconnected and
Description of work undertaken to make the c Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how	hange formation. h physically changed, or what protection devices h devices have been physically disconnected and
Description of work undertaken to make the c Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how.	hange formation. n physically changed, or what protection devices n devices have been physically disconnected and
Description of work undertaken to make the c Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how.	hange formation. n physically changed, or what protection devices n devices have been physically disconnected and
Description of work undertaken to make the of Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how.	hange formation. In physically changed, or what protection devices In devices have been physically disconnected and
Description of work undertaken to make the of Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how.	hange formation. In physically changed, or what protection devices in devices have been physically disconnected and
Description of work undertaken to make the of Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how.	hange formation. In physically changed, or what protection devices in devices have been physically disconnected and
Description of work undertaken to make the constraint of the protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how.	hange Iformation. In physically changed, or what protection devices in devices have been physically disconnected and
Description of work undertaken to make the of Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how.	hange Iformation. In physically changed, or what protection devices in devices have been physically disconnected and the change being completed
Description of work undertaken to make the c Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how. Description of the interface protection post the Please overtype this text with your site-specific in	hange Iformation. In physically changed, or what protection devices in devices have been physically disconnected and the change being completed
Description of work undertaken to make the complease overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how.	hange Iformation. In physically changed, or what protection devices in devices have been physically disconnected and the change being completed
Description of work undertaken to make the of Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how. Description of the interface protection post the Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example – number of units, make and types of	hange formation. In physically changed, or what protection devices In devices have been physically disconnected and the change being completed Iformation. In any device that provides loss of mains protection
Description of work undertaken to make the c Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how. Description of the interface protection post the Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - number of units, make and types of function in service and what their settings are	hange formation. h physically changed, or what protection devices h devices have been physically disconnected and he change being completed formation. of any device that provides loss of mains protection lso state if, where it is appropriate loss of mains
Description of work undertaken to make the c Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how. Description of the interface protection post the Please overtype this text with your site-specific in Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example – number of units, make and types of function in service and what their settings are. A protection have been disabled/disconnected	hange formation. In physically changed, or what protection devices in devices have been physically disconnected and the change being completed Iformation. If any device that provides loss of mains protection lso state if, where it is appropriate, loss of mains
Description of work undertaken to make the c Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how. Description of the interface protection post the Please overtype this text with your site-specific in Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example – number of units, make and types of function in service and what their settings are. A protection have been disabled/disconnected.	hange formation. h physically changed, or what protection devices h devices have been physically disconnected and he change being completed formation. of any device that provides loss of mains protection lso state if, where it is appropriate, loss of mains
Description of work undertaken to make the c Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how. Description of the interface protection post the Please overtype this text with your site-specific in Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example – number of units, make and types of function in service and what their settings are. A protection have been disabled/disconnected. Please include/attach time-stamped photographs	hange formation. In physically changed, or what protection devices in devices have been physically disconnected and the change being completed formation. In device that provides loss of mains protection lso state if, where it is appropriate, loss of mains a of the relevant protection devices on site, showing
Description of work undertaken to make the c Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how. Description of the interface protection post the Please overtype this text with your site-specific in Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example – number of units, make and types of function in service and what their settings are. A protection have been disabled/disconnected. Please include/attach time-stamped photographs the settings. Please include any other photographs	hange formation. The physically changed, or what protection devices the devices have been physically disconnected and the change being completed formation. If any device that provides loss of mains protection less state if, where it is appropriate, loss of mains a of the relevant protection devices on site, showing the of relevant works on site (eg disconnected
Description of work undertaken to make the c Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how. Description of the interface protection post the Please overtype this text with your site-specific in Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example – number of units, make and types of function in service and what their settings are. A protection have been disabled/disconnected. Please include/attach time-stamped photographs the settings. Please include any other photographs the settings. Please include any other photographs the settings. Please include any other photographs the settings.	hange formation. In physically changed, or what protection devices in devices have been physically disconnected and the change being completed formation. In any device that provides loss of mains protection lso state if, where it is appropriate, loss of mains a of the relevant protection devices on site, showing the of relevant works on site (eg disconnected ther details of protection settings or protection
Description of work undertaken to make the c Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how. Description of the interface protection post the Please overtype this text with your site-specific in Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example – number of units, make and types of function in service and what their settings are. A protection have been disabled/disconnected. Please include/attach time-stamped photographs the settings. Please include any other photographs the settings. Please include any other photographs the settings as appropriate.	hange formation. The physically changed, or what protection devices the devices have been physically disconnected and the change being completed formation. If any device that provides loss of mains protection also state if, where it is appropriate, loss of mains a of the relevant protection devices on site, showing the of relevant works on site (eg disconnected ther details of protection settings or protection
 Description of work undertaken to make the of Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how. Description of the interface protection post the Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example – number of units, make and types of function in service and what their settings are. A protection have been disabled/disconnected. Please include/attach time-stamped photographs the settings. Please include any other photographs the settings. Please include any other photographs the setting is a appropriate. 	hange formation. a physically changed, or what protection devices a devices have been physically disconnected and the change being completed formation. of any device that provides loss of mains protection lso state if, where it is appropriate, loss of mains a of the relevant protection devices on site, showing the of relevant works on site (eg disconnected ther details of protection settings or protection
 Description of work undertaken to make the of Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how. Description of the interface protection post the Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example – number of units, make and types of function in service and what their settings are. A protection have been disabled/disconnected. Please include/attach time-stamped photographs the settings. Please include any other photograph tripping circuits etc). Please attach printouts or of setting files as appropriate. 	hange formation. The physically changed, or what protection devices the devices have been physically disconnected and the change being completed formation. If any device that provides loss of mains protection also state if, where it is appropriate, loss of mains a of the relevant protection devices on site, showing the of relevant works on site (eg disconnected ther details of protection settings or protection the evidence to tie the photographs to the site and
 Description of work undertaken to make the complease overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how. Description of the interface protection post the Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example – number of units, make and types of function in service and what their settings are. A protection have been disabled/disconnected. Please include/attach time-stamped photographs the settings. Please include any other photograph tripping circuits etc). Please attach printouts or of setting files as appropriate. 	hange formation. In physically changed, or what protection devices in devices have been physically disconnected and ne change being completed formation. In formation. In formation. In formation device that provides loss of mains protection for any device that provides loss of mains protection for the relevant protection devices on site, showing the of the relevant works on site (eg disconnected ther details of protection settings or protection for evidence to tie the photographs to the site and associated plant and equipment, etc.
 Description of work undertaken to make the of Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example - what protection devices have been have had new settings applied, or what protection how. Description of the interface protection post the Please overtype this text with your site-specific in For example – number of units, make and types of function in service and what their settings are. A protection have been disabled/disconnected. Please include/attach time-stamped photographs the settings. Please include any other photograph tripping circuits etc). Please attach printouts or of setting files as appropriate. 	hange formation. In physically changed, or what protection devices in devices have been physically disconnected and In devices have been physically disconnected and In devices have been physically disconnected and In device that provides loss of mains protection Is of any device that provides loss of mains protection Is of the relevant protection devices on site, showing the of the relevant works on site (eg disconnected ther details of protection settings or protection Is evidence to tie the photographs to the site and associated plant and equipment, etc.



Name of person confirming the changes have been made:	Name of the person who undertook the changes (if different from the person confirming the changes):
Signature, and date of confirmation: Signed: Date:	Confirm that protection has been recommissioned and all relevant tests undertaken and test certificates has been submitted as attachments to this pro-forma. An example of an appropriate certificate can be found in EREC G59 section 13.3. See below for further guidance

Any changed/new device shall be commissioned in accordance with the current issue of G59/3 (note that it is acceptable to use G99 settings and tests rather than G59).

Any changed settings shall be proved to be effective by testing in accordance with the current issue of G59/3 (or G99).

Any device that had its Vector Shift and/or RoCoF protection deactivated shall be proved to be stable by testing in accordance with the current issue of G59/3 (or G99) where it is feasible to conduct such tests. Where testing is not feasible, a statement of why such tests were not conducted would be considered sufficient.



Summary of G59 protection settings on site

				6 4 b ~	No of protection devices	No of generating units	Total generation capacity (kW/MW)
ALoMCP works (please any additional set of set	specify thes tings)	se and repe	at this sec	ction for			
	Stage 1 (it	present)	Stage 2				
	V	S	V	S			
Over voltage							
Under voltage							
	Hz	S	Hz	S			
Over frequency							
Under frequency							
LoM							
Settings of protection de ALoMCP works (please any additional set of set	evices settin specify thes tings)	gs after con se and repe	npletion o at this sec	f the ction for			
	Stage 1 (if	f present)	Stage 2				
	V	S	V	S			
Over voltage							
Under voltage							
	Hz	S	Hz	S			
Over frequency							
Under frequency							
LoM							
Please list any issue, eg stable output over the ve specified above	a design th oltage and f	at may prev requency ra	vent a ger nge deter	nerating uni mined by it	t on site fro s under/ove	m maintain er voltage/fr	ing a equency
Issue 1:							
Issue 2:							
Issue 3:							



Summary of Works Completed at the Site

			Number	Comments
Protection relays a	at the site (electro	mechanical/digital))	
Total items at t	the site			
Items requiring	j no change			
Items replaced	1			
Items reset to	RoCoF 1Hzs ⁻¹ with	500ms time delay		
Items that had	the LoM protection	function disabled		
Items that requ (please explain	uired other modification these)	tions		
Items where a technically feas	change would be re sible	equired but is not		
	Manufacturer	Relay Type		
Manufacturer 1 ²				
Manufacturer 2				
Manufacturer 3				
Inverter control sy	stems at the site			
Total items at t	the facility			
Items already	compliant			
Items reset to	RoCoF 1Hzs ⁻¹ with	500ms time delay		
Items that had	the LoM protection	function disabled		
Items that had tripping/reduct RoCoF that is	Items that had firmware updated to prevent tripping/reduction of output for Vector Shift and/or RoCoF that is below 1Hzs ⁻¹ .			
Items that requ (please clarify	Items that required additional modifications (please clarify these)			
Items where a change would be required but is not technically feasible				
	Manufacturer			
Manufacturer 1 ¹				
Manufacturer 2				
Manufacturer 3				

² Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary



Other means of provision of LoM protection at the site					
Total items at the site					
Items already compliant					
Items replaced					
Items reset to RoCoF 1Hzs ⁻¹ with 500ms time delay					
Items that had its LoM protection function disabled					
Items requiring additional modifications (please clarify these)					
Items where a change would be required but is not technically feasible					

The presence of items, whether these are protection relays, inverter control systems, or any other device, that could not be changed to prevent it from responding to Vector Shift or RoCoF below 1Hzs⁻¹ indicates that the works required by the ALoMCP agreement may not be complete and is likely to affect your payment. The impact will depend on the justification provided and will take into account any prior agreements with the DNO/IDNO in relation to this specific change provided that such agreement has been authorised by NGESO.



ALoMCP Compliance Checklist

	Yes/No
Are there any devices (protection relays/inverters/otherwise) that use Vector Shift as means of provision of Loss of Mains protection?	
If yes please explain/comment:	
Are there any devices (protection relays/inverters/otherwise) that use RoCoF as means of provision of Loss of Mains protection with settings that are less than 1Hzs ⁻¹ or time delay that is less than 500ms?	
If yes please explain/comment:	
Are there any generating units or inverters that are at risk of tripping/reducing their output in response to detection of	
- Vector Shift and/or	
 RoCoF of less than 1Hzs⁻¹ And/or RoCoF of 1Hzs⁻¹ or above lasting for a duration less than 500ms 	
If yes please explain/comment:	

Answering any of these questions by 'Yes' indicates that the works required by the ALoMCP agreement may not be complete and is likely to impact your payment. The impact will depend on the justification provided and will take into account any prior agreements with the DNO/IDNO in relation to this specific change provided that such agreement has been authored by NGESO.



Annex 2: Guidance on Specification of Recognised Contractor

A Participating Distributor may maintain a list of recognised contractors. This list will include engineers who fulfil the following criteria:

- They have been previously witnessed on site by the Participating Distributor on sufficient occasions not necessarily as a part of this programme undertaking generator protection commissioning activities and found competent on these occasions in terms of their skills, processes, procedures and ability to resource the work effectively;
- Are happy to provide the Participating Distributor with further evidence of their competence and of their ability to maintain this competence, eg process documents and safety procedures, if the participating distributor requires them to do so; and
- Will continue to demonstrate competence in any occasion when the Participating Distributor elects to audit their work.

Participating Distributors must notify the details of recognised contractors to NGESO but will not share information regarding recognised contractors. Each Participating Distributor will maintain its own policy for the recognition of, and interaction with, recognised contractors.



Annex 3: Determination of the sampling rate for post-event site visits

In order to determine the sampling rate, sites will be categorised into four (4) groups to account for their contribution to the risk due to capacity and likelihood of operation when the risk is high. This categorisation and the initial assumption on the number of sites on each category are shown in **Table 4**. The assumption on the number of sites within each category will be revised as the need arises.

Table 4: Groups and initial assumption on the number of sites in each group.

High		High	Low
		Solar sites with VS Relays and all sites with RoCoF relays excluding solar generation, diesel generation, and gas generation that does not form a part of a CHP system	Other sites
Capacity	≥1MW	1,620	1,620
	<1MW	23,400	23,400

An initial assumption of 50% variability will be made. That is equivalent to 50% of the sites failing to undertake the works correctly. This assumption will be revised twice. This is after the works are complete at:

- 33% of the sites; and
- 66% of the sites.

The sample size will be determined by the delivery assurance workstream such that the error of margin due to sampling is limited to the values given in **Table 5** with a confidence level of 95%.

Table 5: Margin of error for each group

		Likelihood of operation at high risk periods		
		High	Low	
Capacity	≥1MW	3%	5%	
	<1MW	5%	7%	

The initial sample size that is necessary to achieve the above objectives for the first 33% of the sites in each group is given in **Table** *6*.



Table 6: Initial sampling rate

		Likelihood of operation at high risk periods		
		High	Low	
Capacity	≥1MW	56% (302 sites in total)	31% (169 sites in total)	
	<1MW	3% (238 sites in total)	2% (123 sites in total)	

The total number of sites visited by the time works are complete at 33% of the sites will be 833 sites (5% of sites where the works are complete).

As knowledge of the actual variability rate is gained, the sampling rate required to achieve the same confidence level and error margin will drop. This is illustrated by Table 8 for a set of potential variability rates.

Table 7: Initial sampling rate

		Likelihood of operation at high risk periods		
		High	Low	
50%	≥1MW	66% (359 sites in total)	42% (225 sites in total)	
	<1MW	5% (366 sites in total)	2% (191 sites in total)	
		6.8% (1141 sites in total)		
60%	≥1MW	66% (354 sites in total)	41% (219 sites in total)	
	<1MW	5% (350 sites in total)	2% (184 sites in total)	
		6.6% (1109 sites in total)		
70%	≥1MW	62% (337 sites in total)	37% (202 sites in total)	
	<1MW	4% (310 sites in total)	2% (161 sites in total)	
		6.1% (1011 sites in total)		
75%	≥1MW	60% (323 sites in total)	35% (188 sites in total)	
	<1MW	4% (278 sites in total)	2% (144 sites in total)	
		5.6% (933 sites in total)		
80%	≥1MW	56% (302 sites in total)	31% (169 sites in total)	
	<1MW	3% (238 sites in total)	2% (123 sites in total)	
		5% (833 sites in total)		
90%	≥1MW	42% (225 sites in total)	20% (110 sites in total)	
	<1MW	2% (136 sites in total)	1% (70 sites in total)	
		3.2% (541 sites in total)		
95%	≥1MW	27% (148 sites in total)	5% (64 sites in total)	
	<1MW	1% (72 sites in total)	0.5% (37 sites in total)	
		1.9% (321 sites in total)		

As clarity on the number of sites that are likely to be operating at period of high risk increases, the assumptions on Table 4 will change. The range of variation and its impact on the number of sites visited and the percentage of sampling is summarised in Table 8. In all cases, the number of sites visited by the time 33% of sites have declared that they have completed the works will be within the range of 627 to 834 sites. That corresponds to a range of 3.76% to 5.0% of the sites where the works have been completed.



		Likelihood of operation at high risk periods			
		High		Low	
		From	То	From	То
≥1MW	Total sites	2,916	324	324	2,916
	Sites covered at initial stage	972	108	108	972
	Sites sampled at initial stage	401	93	75	196
	% of sampling	41.3%	86.5%	70%	20.2%
<1MW	Total sites	42,120	4,680	4,680	42,120
	Sites covered at initial stage	14,040	1,560	1,560	14,040
	Sites sampled at initial stage	242	213	116	124
	% of sampling	1.7%	13.6%	7.4%	0.9%

Table 8: Sensitivity on number of sites and its impact on sampling for the first 33% of sites.